

Datalog with Equality: Semantics and Evaluation

Martin E. Bidlingmaier

Overview: Extending Datalog by native equality

mbid.me/eqlog-semantic

mbid.me/eqlog-algorithm

github.com/eqlog/eqlog

- ▶ Allow equality in conclusions:

$$x \leq y \wedge y \leq x \implies x \equiv y$$

- ▶ Can encode partial functions $f : X \rightarrow Y$ as $f \subseteq X \times Y$ satisfying *functionality*:

$$f(x, y) \wedge f(x, y') \implies y \equiv y'$$

- ▶ Equal elements must behave the same wrt. other relations
- ▶ Can implement efficient type inference and Steensgaard's points-to analysis
- ▶ $\text{Datalog} \subseteq \text{RHL} \cong \text{PHL} \supseteq$ essentially algebraic theories
- ▶ Semantics: (Weak) reflection of relational structures into subcategories of models
- ▶ Datalog/Eqlog evaluation $\hat{=}$ orthogonal reflection/small object argument

Background: Datalog

Input:

- ▶ Datalog theory \mathcal{T} : Set of implications (“sequents”, “rules”)

$$\mathcal{F} \implies \mathcal{G}$$

with \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} conjunctions of relation atoms

$$\bigwedge_{i=1}^n R_i(v_1, \dots, v_{m_i}).$$

Every variable in conclusion must also appear in premise.

Example: $\text{Edge}(u, v) \wedge \text{Edge}(v, w) \implies \text{Edge}(u, w)$

- ▶ A relational structure X : Elements (= IDs) and relations over elements.

Output:

- ▶ Free relational structure $X' \supseteq X$ satisfying \mathcal{T}
- ▶ Obtained from X by repeatedly matching premises and adjoining conclusions

Datalog Evaluation

```
fn datalog(structure, sequents):  
  loop:  
    // 1. Match premises.  
    let matches = [];  
    for sequent in sequents:  
      matches.push(find_matches(structure, sequent.premise));  
  
    // 2. Apply conclusions.  
    let has_changed = false;  
    for (sequent, matches) in sequents.zip(matches):  
      for match in matches:  
        if apply_conclusion(structure, sequent.conclusion, match):  
          has_changed = true;  
  
    // Terminate if applying conclusions had no effect.  
    if !changed:  
      return structure;
```

Applications of Datalog

- ▶ Compute transitive closure of graph:

$$E(u, v) \wedge E(v, w) \implies E(u, w)$$

- ▶ Andersen's points-to analysis:

1. If an expression e of the form `new Foo` is assigned to variable x , then x might point to e :

$$\text{Alloc}(x, e) \implies \text{PointsTo}(x, e)$$

2. If variable x is assigned to variable y somewhere, and x might point to e , then y might point to e :

$$\text{Assign}(x, y) \wedge \text{PointsTo}(x, e) \implies \text{PointsTo}(y, e)$$

- ▶ Composable framework for static code analyses: CodeQL

Datalog lacks equality. Non-applications:

- ▶ Congruence closure:

$$f(x, y) \wedge f(x, y') \implies y \equiv y'$$

- ▶ Steensgaard's points-to analysis: If x is assigned to y , then x and y have the same might-point-to set.

Relational Horn Logic (RHL)

Definition (RHL)

Like Datalog, but:

- ▶ Equality atoms: $u \equiv v$
- ▶ Sort quantification atoms: $u \downarrow$ or $u : s$ where s is sort symbol
- ▶ Variables can occur in conclusion only

Intuitive evaluation semantics:

- ▶ Equality is *congruence* wrt. all relations
E.g.

$$\text{Edge}(u, v) \wedge \text{Edge}(v, w)$$

matches $(x, y), (y', z) \in \text{Edge}$ if $y \equiv y'$ has been inferred

- ▶ Sort quantification in premise: Universal quantification over elements
- ▶ Sort quantification in conclusion: Try to find substitution, otherwise adjoin fresh elements

Semantics of RHL

Definition

A relational structure X consists of

- ▶ a carrier set X_s for each sort symbol s ,
- ▶ a relation $r_X \subseteq X_{s_1} \times \cdots \times X_{s_n}$ for each relation symbol $r : s_1 \times \cdots \times s_n$.

Morphisms in \mathbf{Rel} are maps on carriers preserving relations.

Definition

A relational structure X *satisfies* an RHL sequent $\mathcal{F} \implies \mathcal{G}$ if every interpretation I of \mathcal{F} in X can be extended to an interpretation J of $\mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{G}$ in X .

Full subcategory of models $\mathbf{Mod}(\mathcal{T}) \subseteq \mathbf{Rel}$ for every RHL theory \mathcal{T} .

Example

Graphs X satisfy $\text{Edge}(u, v) \wedge \text{Edge}(v, w) \implies \text{Edge}(u, w)$ iff they are transitive.

Example

Graphs X satisfy $v : \text{Vertex} \implies \text{Edge}(v, w)$ iff for every vertex $x \in X$ there exists a vertex $y \in Y$ with an edge from x .

Weak reflection

Definition

Let \mathcal{T} be an RHL theory. Let $X \in \text{Rel}$. A *weak reflection* is a map $\eta : X \rightarrow X'$ such that $X' \in \text{Mod}(\mathcal{T})$ and for every $X \rightarrow Y$ with $Y \in \text{Mod}(\mathcal{T})$ there exists g in

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & X' & \\ \eta \nearrow & & \searrow g \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y. \end{array}$$

If g is always unique, then η is a (*strong*) reflection.

RHL evaluation = Computing weak reflections

Classifying structures

Definition

Let \mathcal{F} be an RHL formula. The *classifying relational structure* $[\mathcal{F}]$ is such that

$$\text{Interpretations}(\mathcal{F}, X) \cong \text{Hom}([\mathcal{F}], X)$$

for all $X \in \text{Rel}$.

Carrier of $[\mathcal{F}]$ are variables in \mathcal{F} mod equality atoms in \mathcal{F} .

Proposition

$X \in \text{Rel}$ satisfies $\mathcal{F} \implies \mathcal{G}$ iff it is injective to $[\mathcal{F} \implies \mathcal{G}]$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [\mathcal{F}] & \xrightarrow{a} & X \\ [\mathcal{F} \implies \mathcal{G}] \downarrow & \nearrow b & \\ & & [\mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{G}] \end{array}$$



The Small Object Argument

Proposition

Let

- ▶ \mathcal{C} be cocomplete,
- ▶ $M \subseteq \text{Mor } \mathcal{C}$ be a set of morphisms of finitely presentable objects,
- ▶ $X \in \mathcal{C}$.

Consider

$$X = X_0 \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow X_2 \rightarrow \dots$$

such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \coprod_{(f,a)} A & \longrightarrow & \coprod_{(f,a)} B \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X_i & \longrightarrow & X_{i+1} \end{array}$$

where $f : A \rightarrow B$ is in M and $a : A \rightarrow X$. Then $X \rightarrow \text{colim}_i X_i$ is a weak reflection into the subcategory of M -injective objects. □

Partial Horn Logic (PHL)

Definition

Like RHL, but:

- ▶ has function symbols in addition to relation symbols
- ▶ terms instead of variables only

Epic (epimorphic) PHL: No new variables in conclusions

Epic PHL $\hat{=}$ essentially algebraic theories

PHL is syntactic sugar over RHL:

Definition (Flattening)

Lowers PHL theory to RHL theory:

- ▶ Function symbols $f : s_1 \times \dots \times s_n \rightarrow s$ to relations $f : s_1 \times \dots \times s_n \times s$ and *functionality axiom*:

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n, y) \wedge f(x_1, \dots, x_n, y') \implies y \equiv y'$$

- ▶ Replace terms $t = f(v_1, \dots, v_n)$ by fresh variable v_t and add atom $f(v_1, \dots, v_n, v)$

RHL Evaluation

Incorporate elements of Datalog evaluation & congruence closure algorithms:

- ▶ Semi-naive evaluation: Don't consider matches that were found in previous iteration.
- ▶ Indices: Speed up matching; as in computation of SQL joins.
- ▶ Union-find: Represent semantic equality, canonical representative in each equivalence class.
- ▶ Normalization: Canonicalize elements in relations wrt. union-find.
- ▶ Occurrence lists: Quickly find tuples a given element occurs in.

Eqlog: epic PHL \rightarrow RHL \rightarrow Rust library

Egglog: Forthcoming tool by egg e-graph library authors

Still open: How to properly detect that fixed point is reached?

Thanks!

- ▶ $\text{Datalog} \subseteq \text{Relational Horn Logic} \cong \text{PHL} \supseteq$ essentially algebraic theories
- ▶ Enable inference of equality, functions & terms, adding new elements during evaluation
- ▶ Can implement efficient type inference and Steensgaard's points-to analysis
- ▶ Semantics: Reflection of relational structures into reflective subcategories
- ▶ Datalog/Eqlog evaluation $\hat{=}$ orthogonal reflection/small object argument
- ▶ mbid.me/eqlog-semantic
- ▶ mbid.me/eqlog-algorithm
- ▶ github.com/eqlog/eqlog
- ▶ Forthcoming egg-related paper at PLDI
- ▶ Palmgren and Vickers: *Partial Horn Logic and Cartesian Categories*.